



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,442	03/01/2004	Subash Kalbarga	60046.0067US01	9788
53377	7590	04/15/2009	EXAMINER	
HOPE BALDAUFF HARTMAN, LLC 1720 PEACHTREE STREET, N.W. SUITE 1010 ATLANTA, GA 30309			LIU, LIN	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		2445	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
04/15/2009	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/790,442	Applicant(s) KALBARGA, SUBASH
	Examiner LIN LIU	Art Unit 2445

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 January 2009.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-4-6 and 12-20 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) 1-4-6 and 15-20 is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 12 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) 13-14 is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to communications filed on 01/02/2009.

Claims 1, 4-6 and 12-20 are pending and have been examined.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 13-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

3. Claims 1, 4-6 and 15-20 are allowed.

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record taking singly or in combination does not teach or suggest a combination of a system for setting a real-time clock on a redirection device and converting time data received from the redirection device comprising: a redirection device operatively connected to a server computer and comprising a real- time clock operative to maintain a time for the redirection device, the redirection device operative to: receive a command to set the real-time clock to a specified GMT time value, in response to receiving the command, set the real-time clock to the specified GMT time value, monitor operation of the server computer to compile health data, the health data comprising one or more health management events and a GMT time at which each of the health management events occurred retrieved from the real-time clock; and a web browser plug-in module executing on a remote computer communicatively connected to the redirection device, the web browser plug-in module operative to: send a command

to the redirection device to set the real-time clock to the specified GMT time value, retrieve the health data from the redirection device, upon retrieving the health data, determine whether the health data includes time data, upon determining that the health data includes time data, convert the time data from the GMT time to a local time, and replace the time data in the health data with the converted time data. The closest prior art of record (i.e Boss et al. (Patent no.: US 6,157,618) and Dawson (PGPUB no.: US 2002/0042765 A1)) does not teach or suggest this feature. Based on this reasoning, claim 15 is allowable over the prior art of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 102 (b) as being anticipated by **Boss et al.** (Patent no.: US 6,157,618).

With respect to **claim 12**, Boss teaches an apparatus for setting a real time clock of a computer management device and for receiving and displaying time data from the computer management device, the apparatus comprising:

a central processing unit (Boss, fig. 11 & 14, UserMon Server); and
a memory having stored thereon an operating system for execution on the central processing unit, a web browser for execution on the operating system (Boss, fig.

11, and col. 7, lines 8-30, note the Explorer API module), and a web browser plug-in module for execution in conjunction with the web browser, the web browser plug-in module operative to receive a request to set the real time clock of the computer management device (Boss, col. 7, lines 8-14), to receive a current local time (Boss, col. 7, lines 8-3, col. 12, lines 18-25 and col. 13, 27-32), to convert the current local time to a Greenwich Mean Time (Boss, col. 12, lines 18-25 and col. 13, lines 27-32, noted that the GMT time is synchronized with the local time), and to issue a command to the computer management device to set the real time clock to the Greenwich Mean Time value, wherein the real time clock is operative to maintain a time for the computer management device (Boss, col. 12, lines 52-59).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed on 01/02/2009 with respect to claim 12 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

8. After carefully reviewing the Applicant's remarks, the following is a list of Applicant's main concerns on the previous Office Action

- a. On page 7 paragraph 1 of Applicant's remark, Applicant argues that "In particular, Boss does not suggest or describe "An apparatus for setting a real time clock of a computer management device communicatively connected to the apparatus . . . comprising... [a] web browser plug-in module operative to... issue

a command to the computer management device to set the real time clock to the Greenwich Mean Time value."".

b. On page 7 paragraph 2 of Applicant's remark, Applicant argues that "in the statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter, the Examiner states that the cited art does not teach or suggest "executing a web browser plug-in module on a remote computer communicatively connected to [a] redirection device, wherein the web browser plug-in module [is] operative to send a command to the redirection device to set the real-time clock to the specified GMT time value."".

9. In response to Applicant's argument **a**, the examiner respectfully disagrees. It appears that Applicant has a specific definition for "the plug-in module", which has not been included in the claims presented. Therefore, the claims are interpreted by the examiner as broadly as possible in light of the specification. In the instant case of Boss, Boss teaches an API of the browser (i.e: WIN32 API) in reading and exacting the timer (Boss: col. 7, lines 8-14). Therefore, the examiner substantially equates WIN32 API of Boss with "the plug-in module" of present application.

10. In response to Applicant's argument **b**, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The reasons for indication of allowable subject matter the examiner indicated that the closest prior art of record does not teach or suggest the combination of all the limitations in the recited claim, not solely relied upon an individual particular limitation.

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIN LIU whose telephone number is (571)270-1447. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30am - 5:00pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton B. Burgess can be reached on (571)-272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 2445

For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lin Liu/
Examiner, Art Unit 2445

/Patrice Winder/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2445